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ABSTRACT

This work presents a curve-fitting approach and addendum-modifying procedures to eliminate the cusps of the epicycloidal planet gear for cycloid drives with two-tooth difference. Envelope theory and kinematic gearing can obtain the epicycloidal profiles. Its inevitable cusps are replaced by the smooth Bezier curves. The curve-fitting results indicate that the best curve fitting for Bezier curves is when the control points pass through the cusp of the epicycloidal profiles. For the interpolation of Bezier curves, it is better for the two reference points to be closer. If the curve-fitting curves require tangent continuity, we should apply the interpolation of Bezier curves. Two examples including six cases demonstrate the usefulness of the proposed approach.
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ADDENDUM MODIFIANT L'ENGRENAGE CYCLOÏDAL AVEC UNE DIFFÉRENCE DE DEUX DENTS SUR LA ROUE PLANÉTAIRE ÉPICYCLOÏDALE

RÉSUMÉ

Ce travail présente une approche d’ajustement de courbes et un addendum modifiant des procédures afin d’éliminer les courbes d’une roue planétaire épicycloïdale pour l’engrenage cycloïdal avec une différence de deux dents. Il est possible d’obtenir les profils épicycloïdaux au moyen de la théorie de l’enveloppe et de l’engrenage cinématique. Ses courbes inévitables sont remplacées par des courbes de Bezier lisses. Les résultats de l’ajustement de courbes indiquent que le meilleur ajustement pour les courbes de Bezier se produit lorsque les points de contrôle passent par la courbe des profils épicycloïdaux. Pour l’interpolation des courbes de Bezier, il est préférable que les deux points de référence soient rapprochés. Si les courbes d’ajustement nécessite une continuité tangente, il faut appliquer l’interpolation des courbes de Bezier. Deux exemples, y compris six cas, démontrent l’utilité de l’approche proposée.

Mots clés : ajustement de courbes, courbes de Bezier, addendum modifiant, engrenage cycloïdal.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Speed reducers are used widely in various applications for speed and torque conversion. The cycloid drive type is more compact, and provides both higher speed reduction and higher mechanical advantage than planetary gear trains in a single stage [1]. Above all, it has high precision pointing, so that it is an attractive candidate for many current applications. Therefore, Litvin and Feng [2] used differential geometry to generate the conjugate surfaces of cycloidal gearing for one-tooth difference. Since the cycloid drive with two-tooth difference is suitable for the devices with low gear ratios, Chang and Liu [3] researched the cycloid drives with two-tooth difference on under cutting. Lyu and Lai [4] studied the geometric design of the cycloid drives with conical meshing elements for two-tooth difference. The epicycloidal planet profile has cusps on the addendum, whose cusps are an inevitable drawback. With gearing contact, the cusps will cause noise and damage to the gearing elements [5]. Here we present the procedures and mathematical algorithm to eliminate the cusps for improving the performance.

The principle of conjugate surfaces and envelope theory are of major concern in designing the gears and generating the conjugate meshing elements. Litvin [6] researched the meshing of spatial gears and the generation of conjugate surfaces. Tsai and Chin [7] studied the surface geometry of straight and spiral bevel gears. Hanson and Churchill [8] applied the envelope theory to provide new cam design equation. Colbourne [9] proposed a geometry method to find the envelopes of trochoids that applies rotary pumps. Yan and Lai [10] studied the geometric design of a novel elementary planetary gear train with cylindrical tooth-profiles by envelope theory. Pollitt [11] studied some applications of the cycloid machine design. The curve fitting of Bezier curves was initially applied in industry about 1962. Bezier [12] presented the curve fitting for solving the styling design of the cars. Yan and Chen [13] used Bezier curves so that the output motion could pass through a design trajectory for variable input speed servo-controlled slider-crank mechanisms.

This paper presents the procedures and mathematical algorithm on addendum modifying for cycloid drives with two-tooth difference. The coordinate transformation, envelope theory, and fundamental gearing kinematics are applied to obtain the epicycloidal planet gear of the one-tooth and two-tooth difference. Then, Bezier curves are used to eliminate the cusps of epicycloidal profiles. Finally, design examples are presented and CAD is used to construct the 2-D drawing and solid modeling to demonstrate the feasibility of this approach.

2. GEOMETRIC DESIGN

2.1 Coordinate Systems and Coordinate Transformation

Figure 1 (a) shows the topological structure of the conventional cycloid drives. This speed reducer consists of five main members. Member 1 is a frame; member 2 is a ring gear, whose members include the ring gear body (2a), cylindrical meshing elements (2b), and ring gear pins (2c); member 3 is an epicycloidal planet gear; member 4 is a crank; and member 5 is an output disk, whose disk pin is a floating connection with member 3 and member 5a (disk plate). Figure 1(b) shows the main dimensions of the ring gear and epicycloidal planet gear.

In order to analysis the kinematic relationships among these members, coordinate systems corresponding to the cycloid drive should be defined. According to the topological structure of the Fig. 1, we define two fixed and three movable coordinate systems, as shown in Fig. 2. Here the fixed coordinate system \((xyz)_f\) is rigidly connected to the frame, while the fixed coordinate system \((xyz)_o\) is also connected to the frame. Moving coordinate systems \((xyz)_2\), \((xyz)_3\), and \((xyz)_4\) are rigidly connected to the ring gear, the cylindrical meshing element, and the epicycloidal planet gear, respectively. The ring gear rotates about the \(z_2\) axis and the epicycloidal planet gear rotates about the \(z_3\) axis.
Origins $o_{f}$ and $o_{2}$ are coincident and located at the centre of the ring gear, while origins $o_{b}$ and $o_{3}$ are coincident and located at the centre of the epicycloidal planet gear. Origin $o_{r}$ is coincident and located at the centre of the cylindrical meshing element. Axis $x_{f}$ is parallel with axis $x_{b}$. The directions of axes $z_{f}$, $z_{b}$, $z_{2}$, $z_{3}$, and $z_{r}$ are perpendicular to the $xy$ plane. Angles $\phi_{2}$ and $\phi_{3}$ are the angular displacements of the ring gear and epicycloidal planet gear, respectively. Positive $\phi_{2}$ and $\phi_{3}$ are measured counterclockwise with respect to axis $z_{2}$ and axis $z_{3}$, respectively. The distance between the centres of the ring gear and the meshing element denoted as $d$. The distance between the axes of rotation of the ring gear and the epicycloidal planet gear is $e$. Symbols $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are the radii of the centroids of the ring gear and epicycloidal planet gear, respectively.

![Fig. 1 Topological structure](image1)

![Fig. 2 Coordinate systems](image2)
According to the definition of all parameters and coordinate systems, we have the following transformation matrices of the coordinate systems by applying homogeneous coordinates and 4 x 4 matrices for coordinate transformation [14]. By transforming the coordinate systems from \((xyz)_1\) to \((xyz)_3\), the transformation matrix can be expressed as:

\[
[M_{y,3}] = [M_{y,1}] [M_{y,2}] [M_{y,3}]
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\phi_2 - \phi_3) & -\sin(\phi_2 - \phi_3) & 0 & -d \sin(\phi_2 - \phi_3) - (R_2 - R_3) \sin \phi_3 \\
\sin(\phi_2 - \phi_3) & \cos(\phi_2 - \phi_3) & 0 & d \cos(\phi_2 - \phi_3) - (R_2 - R_3) \cos \phi_3 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Here, matrix \([M_{y,3}]\) represents the transformation matrix from system \(r\) to system 3.

The coordinate system of the cylindrical meshing element is shown in Fig. 3. The coordinate system \((xyz)_2\) is attached to the cylindrical meshing element. The height of the cylindrical meshing element of the ring gear is \(t\). The symbol \(\rho\) denotes the radius of the cylindrical meshing element. In coordinate system \((xyz)_2\), the homogeneous coordinates position vector of the cylindrical meshing element can be expressed as:

\[
R_r = \begin{bmatrix}
\rho \cos \theta & \rho \sin \theta & u & 1
\end{bmatrix}^T
\]

where \(0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\), \(0 \leq u \leq t\), and \(R_r\) denotes the surface equation in coordinate system \(r\). Superscript "\(^T\)" means transposition.

![Fig. 3 Cylindrical meshing element](image)

By transforming the equation of the cylindrical meshing element coordinate systems from \((xyz)_1\) to \((xyz)_3\), the surface equation of the cylindrical meshing element can be expressed as:
\[
R'_r = [M_{3,2}] R'_r = \begin{bmatrix}
\rho \sin \theta \cos (\phi_2 - \phi_1) - (d - \rho \cos \theta) \sin (\phi_1 - \phi_2) - (R_2 - R_1) \sin \phi_1 \\
\rho \sin \theta \sin (\phi_2 - \phi_1) + (d - \rho \cos \theta) \cos (\phi_1 - \phi_2) - (R_2 - R_1) \cos \phi_1 \\
u \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( R'_r \) denotes the \( r \) surface equation in coordinate system 3. Let gear ratio \( m_{32} = \omega_3 / \omega_2 \), then Equation (3) becomes:

\[
R'_r = \begin{bmatrix}
\rho \sin \theta \cos (1 - m_{32}) \phi_2 - (d - \rho \cos \theta) \sin (1 - m_{32}) \phi_2 - (R_2 - R_1) \sin m_{32} \phi_2 \\
\rho \sin \theta \sin (1 - m_{32}) \phi_2 + (d - \rho \cos \theta) \cos (1 - m_{32}) \phi_2 - (R_2 - R_1) \cos m_{32} \phi_2 \\
u \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( \theta \) and \( u \) are parameters on the particular surface of the family.

2.2 Equation of Meshing and Constrained Conditions

Consider coordinate systems \((xyz)_2\), \((xyz)_r\), \((xyz)_3\), and \((xyz)_f\) that are connected to the ring gear, the cylindrical meshing element, the epicycloidal planet gear, and the frame, respectively. The cylindrical meshing element is provided with a regular surface, \( \Sigma_r \), that is represented in coordinate system \((xyz)_3\) as follows:

\[
R_r(u, \theta) \in C^1, \quad \frac{\partial R_r}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial R_r}{\partial \theta} = 0
\]

The symbol \( C^1 \) in Equation (5) indicates that the functions \( x(u, \theta) \) and \( y(u, \theta) \) have continuous derivatives to the first order, at least. From the necessary conditions of existence of surfaces [15], the equation of meshing can be obtained as follows:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial R'_r}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial R'_r}{\partial \theta} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial R'_r}{\partial \phi_2} = 0
\]

Equation (6) relates the curvilinear coordinates \((u, \theta)\) of surface \( \Sigma_r \) with the generalized parameter of motion, \( \phi_2 \). By differentiating Equation (4) with respect to the \( u \), \( \theta \), and \( \phi_2 \), respectively, then substituting them into Equation (6), we can obtain the equation of meshing as follows:

\[
\tan \theta = \frac{\sin \phi_2}{(d / R_2) - \cos \phi_2}
\]

Figure 4 shows the geometrical relationships between the cylindrical meshing elements. In order to avoid interference between the near cylindrical meshing elements, the meshing element radius must be constrained in the following inequality.
\[ \rho < d \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{N_1}\right) \]  

(8)

Simultaneously solving Equations (4) and (7)-(8), the epicycloidal profiles can be obtained for cycloid drives with one-tooth difference. From the kinematic gearing theory, for cycloid drives with tooth-difference, that the relationships of the parameters \( R_2, R_1, e, N_2, N_3 \), and \( p_c \) can be expressed as follows \[16\]:

\[
2\pi R_2 = 2\pi R_3 + (N_2 - N_3)p_c
\]

(9)

\[
R_2 = \frac{N_2e}{N_2 - N_3}
\]

(10)

\[
R_3 = \frac{N_3e}{N_2 - N_3}
\]

(11)

where \( N_2 \) and \( N_3 \) are the number of the cylindrical meshing elements and epicycloidal planet gear teeth (or lobes), respectively. Symbol \( p_c \) denotes the circular pitch. By combining Equations (4) and (7)-(11), epicycloidal profiles can be obtained for cycloid drives with two-tooth difference.

2.3 Flowchart and Numerical Examples

Figure 5 shows the flow chart of the design and addendum modifying for cycloid drives. When the major parameters of the cycloid drive are given as shown in table 1, we may obtain the epicycloidal profiles for one-tooth and two-tooth difference. Figures 6(a) and (b) show the epicycloidal profiles and cylindrical meshing elements for one-tooth and two-tooth difference, respectively.
Fig. 5 Flow chart of the design and addendum modifying for cycloid drives

Table 1 Design parameters of the cycloid drives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$\rho$</th>
<th>$N_2$</th>
<th>$N_3$</th>
<th>$R_2$</th>
<th>$R_3$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For one-tooth difference</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For two-tooth difference</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6 Epicycloidal profiles and cylindrical meshing elements

Using above geometric design results, we apply CAD (Pro/ENGINEER) to construct the solid modeling of the epicycloidal planet gear, as shown in Fig. 7.
3. CURVE FITTING AND ADDENDUM MODIFYING

There are inevitable cusps on the epicycloidal planet gear of the cycloid drives with two-tooth difference, which will reduce the performance and available life. Therefore, it is very important to eliminate the cusps in designing and manufacturing. Here we use Bezier curves to replace the local original epicycloidal profiles.

We hereby introduce the Bezier curves to present the curve fitting on the addendum of the epicycloidal profiles, as proposed in the early 1960s for the design of car styling. Bezier curves have the advantages that we can change arbitrary control points to modify the curve shape and predict the curve region.

A Bezier curve is a curve whose shape is defined by a set of control points. The order of a Bezier curve is related to the number of control points defining it; \( n + 1 \) points define an \( n \)th order curve. Bezier curves and surfaces are credited to P. Mathematically, a Bezier curve \( P(w) \) is defined by the following polynomial as:

\[
P(w) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} P_i \times B_{i,n}(w) \quad w \in [0,1]
\]

\[
B_{i,n}(w) = \binom{n}{i} w^i (1-w)^{n-i}
\]

\[
\binom{n}{i} = \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!}
\]

If the Bezier curve is a third order curve, then Equation (12) can be expressed as following scalar equations:

\[
P_i(w) = (1- w)^3 x_0 + 3w(1- w)^2 x_1 + 3w^2 (1- w)x_2 + w^3 x_3
\]

\[
P_j(w) = (1- w)^3 y_0 + 3w(1- w)^2 y_1 + 3w^2 (1- w)y_2 + w^3 y_3
\]

\[
P_z(w) = (1- w)^3 z_0 + 3w(1- w)^2 z_1 + 3w^2 (1- w)z_2 + w^3 z_3
\]
Where $P_x$, $P_y$, and $P_z$ are the components of the coordinate system in the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions, respectively. The shape of the Bezier curve $P(w)$ is controlled by a set of $P_i$. From Equation (13) we can obtained a third Bezier curve as shown in Fig. 8. The curve starts at $P_0$ where $w = 0$, and ends at $P_3$ where $w = 1$. The shape of the curve where $0 \leq w \leq 1$ can be arbitrary adjusted by the positions of the control points $P_1$ and $P_2$.

The dashed line in Fig. 8 is the characteristic polygon for Bezier curves.

If we desire the curve-fitting curve of Bezier curves with the tangent continuity behavior, then the curve fitting of the interpolation of Bezier curves should be considered. Figure 9 shows the interpolation of Bezier curves for a third order. Assuming $q_0$, $q_1$, $q_2$, and $q_3$ are given reference points, then their control points $P_0'$, $P_1'$, $P_2'$, and $P_3'$ can be obtained as follows:

\begin{align}
\bar{\mathbf{T}}_1 &= w_1 \hat{L}_1 \\
\bar{\mathbf{T}}_2 &= w_2 \hat{L}_2 \\
\hat{L}_1 &= \frac{q_1 - q_0}{|q_1 - q_o|} \\
\hat{L}_2 &= \frac{q_3 - q_2}{|q_3 - q_2|} \\
L_1 &= |q_1 - q_0|, \quad L_2 = |q_2 - q_3|, \\
\end{align}

where $L = |q_3 - q_0|$, $w_1 = L_2/(L_1 + L_2)$, and $w_2 = L_1/(L_1 + L_2)$.
From Equation (14) we can express the curve fitting of the interpolation of Bezier curves for a third order as follows:

\[
P'(w) = (1 - w)^3 P'_0 + 3w(1 - w)^2 P' + 3w^2 (1 - w)P'_2 + w^3 P'_3
\]

\( w \in [0,1] \) \hfill (15)

where \( P'_0 = q_1, \ P'_1 = q_2, \ P'_2 = q_1 + T_1, \) and \( P'_3 = q_2 - T_2. \) Equation (15) can be expressed as the following scalar equations:

\[
P'_x(w) = (1 - w)^3 x_0 + 3w(1 - w)^2 x_1 + 3w^2 (1 - w)x_2 + w^3 x_3 \quad \hfill (16a)
\]

\[
P'_y(w) = (1 - w)^3 y_0 + 3w(1 - w)^2 y_1 + 3w^2 (1 - w)y_2 + w^3 y_3 \quad \hfill (16b)
\]

\[
P'_z(w) = (1 - w)^3 z_0 + 3w(1 - w)^2 z_1 + 3w^2 (1 - w)z_2 + w^3 z_3 \quad \hfill (16c)
\]

The epicycloidal profiles are periodic, so we can use a single tooth profile to make curve fitting. The rest of tooth profiles can be obtained by the following equations:

\[
r_i^2 = x_i^2 + y_i^2 \quad \hfill (17)
\]

\[
\theta_i = \arctan \frac{y_i}{x_i} \quad \hfill (18)
\]

\[
x_j = r_i \cos(\theta_i + \delta) \quad \hfill (19)
\]

\[
y_j = r_i \sin(\theta_i + \delta) \quad \hfill (20)
\]

\[
\delta = 2k\pi / N, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \ldots \ldots (N - 1) \quad \hfill (21)
\]

Example 1. We use three cases to make the curve fitting of Bezier curves for addendum modifying. The control points are shown in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control points of Bezier curves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Substituting the above control points into Eqs. 13(a) and (b), the Bezier curves can be obtained. Figure 10 shows a single tooth profile of the epicycloidal profile and its Bezier curve for case 1, where \( \alpha \) denotes the angle between the two end points for a single tooth profile. The specified required curve-fitting angle is \( \alpha \) for this case. Let angles \( \alpha = 2\pi / N, \) and \( \beta = \alpha / 3. \) The symbol \( P_i \) denotes the control points of Bezier curves. The control points \( P_0 \) and \( P_3 \) are specified at the two end points of the single tooth epicycloidal profile in this case.
Figure 10 illustrates the relationships of the control points for a single tooth profile and the Bezier curve for case 2, specifying the required curve-fitting angle $\beta$ for this case. The points $P_1$ and $P_2$ are chosen within the points $P_0$ and $P_3$.

Figure 11 shows a single tooth profile of epicycloidal profiles and its Bezier curve for case 3. Specified the required curve-fitting angle is $\beta$, while let control points $P_1$ and $P_2$ on the cusp of the single tooth epicycloidal profile for this case.

Figure 13 shows the results of Bezier curves and the original tooth profile for example 1. The symbols delta, circle, and square denote cases 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Comparing the results, it can be seen that the case 3 is the best curve fitting for the original tooth profile.
Substituting the above results into Equations (17)-(21) we can obtain the epicycloidal planet profiles for example 1, as shown in Figure 14. This illustrates that case 1 is the worst curve fitting of the three cases.

**Example 2.** We use three cases to make the curve fitting of the interpolation of Bezier curves for addendum modifying. The reference point $q_i$ and control point $P_i$ are shown in table 3.

**Table 3 Reference and control points for the interpolation of Bezier curves**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Reference and control points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>$q_0 (0, 79)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_0' (8.5183, 81.4492)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>$q_0 (5.1893, 80.0418)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_0' (8.5183, 81.4492)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>$q_0 (8.4610, 81.4246)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_0' (8.5183, 81.4492)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Substituting the reference points into Equation (14), and control points into Equations 16(a) and (b), the interpolation of Bezier curves can be obtained. Figure 15 shows a single tooth profile of epicycloidal
profile and its interpolation of Bezier curve for case 1. We chose reference points $q_0$ and $q_3$ at the two ends of the single tooth epicycloidal profile.

![Diagram of single tooth profile and Bezier curve](image)

(a) Tooth profile  
(b) Bezier curve by interpolation

Fig. 15 Single tooth profile for epicycloidal profiles (example 2, case 1)

Figure 16 shows a single tooth profile of epicycloidal profile and its interpolation of Bezier curve for case 2. The point $q_0$ is far from point $q_1$.

![Diagram of single tooth profile and Bezier curve](image)

(a) Tooth profile  
(b) Bezier curve by interpolation

Fig. 16 Single tooth profile for epicycloidal profiles (example 2, case 2)

Figure 17 shows a single tooth profile of epicycloidal profile and its interpolation of Bezier curve for case 3. The reference points, $q_0$ and $q_1$, are very close in this case.

![Diagram of single tooth profile and Bezier curve](image)

(a) Tooth profile  
(b) Bezier curve by interpolation

Fig. 17 Single tooth profile for epicycloidal profile (example 2, case 3)
Figure 18 shows the results for the interpolation of Bezier curves and the original tooth profile for example 2. The symbols delta, circle, and square denote cases 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The results indicate that the case 3 is the best curve fitting for these cases.

Fig. 18 Interpolation curve fitting of Bezier curves for example 2

Substituting the example 2 results into Equations (17)-(21), we can obtain the epicycloidal profiles after addendum modified, as shown in Fig. 19.

Fig. 19 Epicycloidal profiles after addendum modified for example 2

Figure 20 shows the case 3 results for examples 1 and 2. The dashed line and the solid line are examples 1 and 2, respectively. Comparing the two curves there is almost the same curve-fitting effect, but example 1 can only obtain the position continuity.

Fig. 20 Epicycloidal profiles for examples 1 and 2
Using the above results, we apply CAD (Pro/ENGINEER) to construct solid modeling, for example 2 (case 3), as shown in Fig. 21.

![Solid modeling after addendum modified for example 2 (case 3)]

Fig. 21 Solid modeling after addendum modified for example 2 (case 3)

4. CONCLUSIONS

This paper applies envelope theory and kinematic gearing to obtain the epicycloidal profiles with one-tooth and two-tooth difference. We further use a curve-fitting approach and addendum-modifying procedures to eliminate the cusps of the epicycloidal planet gear for cycloid drives with two-tooth difference. From the results of the curve fitting of Bezier curves, there is better curve fitting as the control points are closer the cusps of the epicycloidal profiles. As the control points are further from the cusps, the Bezier curve maybe lead to a little interference with meshing elements. The curve fitting for the interpolation of Bezier curves is better as the two reference points are closer. Comparing these two curve-fitting types, the Bezier curve can only have the behavior of the position continuity. Six epicycloidal profiles and one solid modeling are presented to demonstrate that the procedures and approach are feasible.
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**NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>Distance between the centres of ring gear and epicycloidal planet gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[M_{3,r}]$</td>
<td>Coordinate transformation matrix from system $r$ to system 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_r$</td>
<td>Radius of the centroids of the ring gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_j$</td>
<td>Radius of the centroids of the epicycloidal planet gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Height of the tooth of epicycloidal planet gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_2$</td>
<td>The number of ring-gear meshing elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_j$</td>
<td>The number of epicycloidal planet gear teeth (lobes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_i$</td>
<td>Surface equation in coordinate system $i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_j$</td>
<td>The $i$ surface equation in coordinate $j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u, \theta$</td>
<td>Curvilinear coordinates on the surface of the cylindrical meshing element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(xyz)_2$</td>
<td>Moving coordinate system rigidly connected to the ring gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(xyz)_1$</td>
<td>Moving coordinate system rigidly connected to the epicycloidal planet gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(xyz)_b$</td>
<td>Fixed coordinate system rigidly connected to the frame at point $b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(xyz)_f$</td>
<td>Fixed coordinate system rigidly connected to the frame at point $f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(xyz)_r$</td>
<td>Moving coordinate system rigidly connected to the cylindrical meshing element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_2$</td>
<td>Angular displacement of the ring gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_j$</td>
<td>Angular displacement of the epicycloidal planet gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma_2$</td>
<td>Ring gear surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma_r$</td>
<td>Cylindrical meshing element surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Radius of cylindrical meshing element</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>